Effectiveness and determinants of smoking cessation in the Saudi Arabian Region of Jazan: A cross-sectional study

Osama Albasheer¹, Abdulaziz H. Alhazmi^{2,3}, Abdullah Alharbi¹, Anwar M. Makeen¹, Ahmad Y. Alqassim¹, Hafiz I. Al-Musawa⁴, Amjad E. Alabah⁴, Alwaleed K. Alhazmi⁴, Nawaf A. Khormi⁴, Yazeed A. Hamzi⁴, Eyad Y. Abu Sharhah⁴, Riyadh M. Salami⁵, Mohammed Alshareef⁶, Hassan Suwaydi⁶, Ahmed Elkhobby⁶

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION Smoking cessation has significant health benefits. The purpose of this study is to assess the efficacy and related factors of smoking cessation therapies in the Jazan Region of Saudi Arabia.

METHODS This is a cross-sectional study that took place at smoking cessation clinics in primary healthcare centers between January 2019 and January 2020.

RESULTS This study enrolled a total of 103 people. The success rate for quitting smoking was 36% at three months, with a 13% relapse rate at six months. Age (p=0.017), occupation (p=0.046), daily cigarette intake (p=0.015), and number of visits (p=0.001) were all found to be significant determinants of smoking cessation. In the multivariate analysis, only the number of visits increased the likelihood to quit smoking (AOR=0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.63). Self-efficacy was cited as the primary reason for quitting smoking by 71% of the participants, whereas family support, smoking cessation therapies, and friends' support were cited as predictive variables by 18%, 10%, and 1% of the participants, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS Smokers who received the smoking cessation intervention package were three times more likely to succeed in giving up smoking when compared to those who received the routine service. Regular follow-up during smoking cessation interventions significantly enhanced the quit rate. It is recommended that pharmacotherapy strategies and intense therapy performed face-to-face with a cessation counselor be combined to improve the quit rate.

Tob. Induc. Dis. 2023;21(January):6

https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/156842

INTRODUCTION

Globally, tobacco use accounts for 12% of all deaths (approximately 5 million) of adults aged >30 years¹. The World Health Organization (WHO) reported that deaths from tobacco-related cardiovascular diseases presumably occur among young persons. It is an epidemic with a negative impact on smokers and their family members². Tobacco smoking is a major preventable risk factor for the development of cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. In 55 publications containing 141 cohort studies, the pooled relative risk for coronary heart disease for 20 cigarettes per day was 2.04 in men and 2.84 in women³. Even with one cigarette per day, the risk is still high (the pooled relative risk for coronary heart disease was 1.48 in men and 1.57 in women for one cigarette per day)³. In addition, smoking increases the threat of different forms of cancers, including lung, colorectal, and liver cancer^{3,4}. In Saudi Arabia, the smoking rate of men and

AFFILIATION

1 Family and Community Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Jazan University, Jazan City, Saudi Arabia 2 Emerging and Epidemic Infectious Diseases Research Unit. Medical Research Center, Jazan University, Jazan City, Saudi Arabia 3 Microbiology and Parasitology Department, College of Medicine, Jazan University, Jazan City, Saudi Arabia 4 Faculty of Medicine, Jazan University, Jazan City, Saudi Arabia 5 College of Pharmacy, Jazan University, Jazan City, Saudi Arahia 6 Family Medicine and Primary Health Care, Ministry of Health, Jazan City, Saudi Arabia

CORRESPONDENCE TO

Osama Albasheer. Family and Community Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine, Jazan University, Jazan, Postal Code 45142, P.O. BOX 114, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: oalbasheer@jazanu. edu.sa ORCID ID: https://orcid. orq/0000-0002-5115-6182

KEYWORDS

smoking cessation, determinants of smoking cessation, smoking abstinence program, Jazan, Saudi Arabia

Received: 17 June 2022 Revised: 27 October 2022 Accepted: 23 November 2022 women aged ≥ 15 years was 14.30% in 2020⁵.

Many behavioral interventions are available to enhance smoking cessation (SC) in adults⁶. Behavioral interventions include in-person counseling, telephone counseling, motivational interviewing, and acceptance and commitment therapy^{6,7}. They play an important role in the prevention of smoking-related diseases and deaths⁸, and people who quit smoking have lower risks of disease consequences than smokers⁹. Moreover, SC contributes to low morbidity and mortality within all age groups. A study showed that the risk of mortality was reduced by 90% in smokers aged <40 years who quit smoking¹⁰. Among cancer patients, cessation improves the quality of life, enhances the prognosis, and reduces the risk of recurrence¹¹.

In addition to therapy, the WHO has been recommending the provision of cessation services such as clinics and quitlines to facilitate quitting among smokers and reduce the burden of smoking on the health system^{12,13}. Smoking cessation clinics have a crucial role in smoking abstinence. Most current smokers report that they would like to quit, and the majority tried to quit during the previous year¹⁴. Unfortunately, nearly all attempts to stop smoking fail, and after quitting, with or without assistance, it is possible to start smoking again. Many previous studies indicate the risk of relapses increases for younger age, higher severity of nicotine dependence, prior quit attempts, and poor health status¹⁵⁻¹⁸. In addition, high socio-economic status individuals are more likely to try quitting¹⁹, and consultations with medical staff improve the rate of abstinence. A study showed that a higher frequency of consultation will result in a higher success rate²⁰. A report from Saudi Arabia showed that women who quit smoking were found to have been worried about their health²¹.

The Ministry of Health in Saudi Arabia established smoking cessation clinics as a part of the National Tobacco Control Program with the purpose of decreasing the prevalence of smoking within all groups of the community²².

Therefore, the main objectives of this study were to evaluate the effectiveness of the smoking cessation interventions in the Jazan Region of Saudi Arabia and to determine the related factors of smoking cessation. Other objectives were to estimate the abstinence rate among the study participants and to analyze the association between the rate of abstinence and sociodemographic factors.

METHODS

Study design and setting

A cross-sectional study was conducted from January 2019 to January 2020 at smoking cessation clinics in the primary healthcare centers of the Jazan Region. Smoking cessation services were available at sixteen primary healthcare centers in that region. The services provided were in the form of counseling and pharmacological management.

Participants

A stratified random sample was used in this study. The Epi Info program formula required for sample size calculation was used. The minimum recommended size (n) of this study was 146, based on the assumption that (E=8% margin of error), a confidence level of 95%, and population of people in smoking cessation clinics per year is N=5000 (according to the records of the smoking cessation program). As no previous study was found in the region, we assumed a prevalence of quitting at 50%. The participants in this study were smokers who visited the selected smoking cessation clinics from January 2019 to January 2020. Six smoking cessation clinics were randomly selected in the current study, and the participants were randomly selected from each of these clinics. First, we split the Jazan Region into mountain, land, and coast sub-areas, then two smoking cessation clinics were selected randomly from each of the sub-areas. The participants included were male and female adult smokers who received smoking cessation services for at least three months, had regular followup, and completed records in the smoking cessation clinics in the primary healthcare centers of the Jazan Region. Confidentiality of information provided by the participants was ensured.

Participants that were excluded included those with critical illnesses such as cancer, those who did not complete their records, and those who did not follow-up on a regular basis. Smokers are defined, according to WHO, as those who smoke regularly or accumulatively for six months or more in their lifetime²³.

Data collection tools

The data were collected by an extraction sheet from

the participants who visited the clinics. Demographic data were collected including age, gender, education level, occupation, marital status, and ill health or lifestyle risk behaviors such as excessive alcohol intake, poor diet, and physical inactivity^{24,25}. These behaviors are linked to hypertension, high cholesterol, and diabetes; they are important contributors to morbidity and mortality²⁵. Other data collected include history of chronic diseases, type of smoking, smoking cessation history, and results of the Fagerström test for nicotine dependence to estimate each patient's physical dependence on nicotine and the predictors of smoking cessation.

After initial consultations, trained investigators conducted assessments at intervals of one week, one month, three months, and six months. Participants were considered successful quitters when they reported they had not smoked within the past month from the start of intervention²⁶. Participants who visited the clinic one time and who did not complete one month from the start of intervention were provided follow-up for re-evaluation of their smoking status.

Statistical analysis

SPSS version 20 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for data analysis. Patient characteristics, abstinence rates, and reasons for failure presented as frequencies and percentages for the risk ratio and incidence rate calculations. A comparison of patients who succeeded in quitting smoking and those who did not was carried out by using χ^2 and t-tests for the following variables: gender, education level, marital status, Fagerström scores, age at the onset of smoking, a history of previous attempts to quit smoking, and the patient's perception of his/her level of motivation to quit smoking. A significance criterion of p<0.05 was used in the analysis.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the participants and smoking-cessation related factors

Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the participants and smoking-cessation related factors. The study sample consisted of 103 participants. Of the study sample, 98% of the participants were male, 74% were married, 74% were governmental employees, and 55% had secondary school diplomas.

The mean age of the participants was 34.3 years. Additionally, most participants (53%) reported khat chewing. Regarding chronic diseases, 21% reported having chronic diseases. For the date of starting

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the participants and smoking cessation related factors at smoking cessation clinics in primary healthcare centers between January 2019 and January 2020, Jazan Region of Saudi Arabia (N=103)

Characteristics			
Age (years), mean \pm SD	38.349 ± 11.199		
Sex			
Male	101	98	
Female	2	2	
Education level			
Primary	4	4	
Intermediate	11	11	
Secondary	57	55	
University and postgraduate	31	30	
Occupation			
Government employee	76	74	
Private sector	22	21	
None	5	5	
Marital status			
Married	76	74	
Single	25	24	
Divorce	2	2	
III health behavior			
Khat	55	53	
None	48	47	
Chronic diseases			
Presence	21	20	
Absence	82	80	
Date of starting smoking cessation (years)			
1	50	49	
2	51	50	
3	2	2	
Smoking abstinence			
Yes	37	36	
No	66	64	
Smoking cessation related factors			
Self-efficacy	73	71	
Family support	19	18	
Friends' support	1	1	
Smoking cessation interventions	10	10	

smoking cessation, 74% had started one year prior, 24% had started two years prior, and 2% had started three years prior. Smoking abstinence was found in 36% at three months, while 64% were still smokers. The most reported factor for smoking cessation was self-efficacy (71%), followed by family support (18%). Smoking cessation interventions and friends' support were reported in 10% and 1% of the participants, respectively.

Interventions and their consequences

Table 2 presents the smoking cessation interventions and related consequences. The recorded types of smoking among the studied participants were cigarettes (89%), pipes (8%), and shamma (3%). Among the current smokers, 6% of the participants smoked 10 cigarettes or less per day, the highest proportion of participants (39%) smoked 11-20 cigarettes per day, 25% of the participants smoked 21-30 cigarettes per day, and the remaining participants smoked ≥31 cigarettes per day; 98% of the participants were subjected to pharmacotherapy interventions. Nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) was prescribed to 39% of the participants, varenicline was prescribed to 45% of the participants, and the remaining participants used both medications; 13% of the participants relapsed after six months of quitting smoking. Most of the participants (63%) visited the clinic only once during the follow-up, 29% visited it twice, 6% had three visits, and only 2% had four or more visits. The Fagerström test score average was 4.379 (SD=2.513). A total of 98% of participants had previously made guit attempts, as follows: 21% of the participants had one previous quit attempt, 24% had two previous quit attempts, 21% had three previous quit attempts, 19% had four previous quit attempts, and 12% had five or more quit attempts; 2% of the participants had no previous quit attempts.

Univariate analysis and multivariate analysis of participants who stopped smoking compared to those who still smoked

Table 3 presents a univariate and multivariate analysis comparing participants who stopped smoking to those who are still smokers and who showed no association in smoking cessation related to sex (p=0.127), education level (p=0.230), and marital status (p=0.222). In contrast, age in the current study had an association with smoking cessation success (p=0.017), as those aged \leq 35 years demonstrated a

Table 2. Smoking cessation interventions and related factors with their consequences at smoking cessation clinics in primary healthcare centers between January 2019 and January 2020, Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia

Intervention			
Period from intervention			
6 months	52	50	
1 year	35	34	
2 years	16	16	
Type of intervention			
Psychotherapy	2	2	
Pharmacotherapy	101	98	
Prescribed medications			
Nicotine replacement therapy	40	39	
Varenicline	46	45	
Combined	17	17	
Number of quit attempts			
0	2	2	
1	22	21	
2	25	24	
3	22	21	
4	20	19	
≥5	12	12	
Fagerström score, mean ± SD	4.379 ± 2.513		
Number of visits during the follow-up			
1	65	63	
2	30	29	
3	6	6	
4	1	1	
6	1	1	
Relapse			
Yes	13	13	
No	90	87	
Type of smoking			
Cigarettes	92	89	
Pipe	8	8	
Shamma	3	3	
Daily cigarette consumption			
≤10	6	6	
11–20	40	39	
21–30	26	25	
>31	20	19	

higher rate of smoking abstinence success. In terms of occupation, governmental employees demonstrated a lower percentage of smoking abstinence success than private-sector employees (p=0.046). Additionally,

participants with a lower average daily cigarette consumption were more likely to have smoking abstinence success (p=0.015). The number of visits during the follow-up were associated with smoking

Table 3. Univariate and multivariate analysis of participants who stopped smoking compared to those who still smoke in smoking cessation clinics in primary healthcare centers between January 2019 and January 2020, Jazan Region, Saudi Arabia

Variable	Univariate analysis ^a				Multivariate analysis ^b		
	Y (n=37	Yes No $(n=37: 36\%)$ $(n=66: 62\%)$			AOR	95% CI	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
Age (years)	35	8	40	12	0.017*	1.04	0.98–1.10
Sex							
Male	35	95	66	100	0.127		
Female	2	5	0	0			
Education level							
Primary	1	3	3	5	0.230		
Intermediate	1	3	10	15			
Secondary	22	59	35	53			
University and postgraduate	13	35	18	27			
Occupation							
Government employee	23	62	53	80	0.046*	4.56	0.29-71.46
Private sector	10	27	12	18		2.63	0.15-47.03
None	4	11	1	2			
Marital status							
Married	25	68	51	77	0.222		
Single	12	32	13	20			
Divorced	0	0	2	3			
Prescribed medication							
NRT	14	38	18	27	0.775		
Varenicline	18	49	28	42			
Combined	5	14	46	70			
Chronic diseases							
Presence	6	16	15	23	0.301		
Absence	31	84	51	77			
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD			
Smoking status							
Fagerström score	3.9	2.4	4.6	2.5	0.192		
Daily cigarette consumption	2.3	0.74	2.8	0.93	0.015*	1.72	0.84-3.49
Number of visits	1.8	1.04	1.2	0.59	0.001*	0.31**	0.15-0.63
Number of quit attempts	2.6	1.88	2.8	1.57	0.577		

NRT: nicotine replacement therapy. SD: standard deviation. AOR: adjusted odds ratio. The alpha criterion for p-value was set to 0.05. *Significant in univariate analysis. **Significant in multivariate analysis. a Chi-squared test and t-test were used for univariate analysis. b Multiple logistic regression was used when variable significantly associated with smoking abstinence in univariate analysis.

> Tob. Induc. Dis. 2023;21(January):6 https://doi.org/10.18332/tid/156842

abstinence (p=0.001), while the number of quit attempts was statistically not significant (p=0.577). In multivariate analysis, only the number of visits seemed to be significantly associated with smoking abstinence; more visits increased the likelihood to quit smoking (AOR=0.31; 95% CI: 0.15–0.63).

DISCUSSION

The current study examined the effectiveness of smoking cessation interventions and determined the abstinence-related factors. The success rate of smoking cessation was 36% at three months for participants who received the smoking cessation intervention package. The number of visits seemed to be significantly associated with smoking abstinence, while other variables like age, occupation, and daily cigarette consumption, though important in smoking cessation, did not reach significant relationships in multivariate analysis.

Most of the study sample were male (98%), which is similar to the findings of several previous studies²⁷⁻²⁹. Giovino et al.³⁰ gathered data from 13 countries and reported that the men's smoking rate was 48.6% compared with 11.3% in women. This gender difference in smoking intake could be related to the low smoking rate among women observed worldwide.

The abstinence rate among the participants in this study was 36% at three months of intervention. This was higher than the generally reported rate of smoking abstinence, which is $<10\%^{31}$. The difference could be related to the use of interventions and the interval between the initiation of the intervention and the time of assessment. In Thailand, the success rate was 25.62% at six months of intervention³²; in China, the success rate was 28.4% at three months of intervention²⁹.

In the current study, the univariate analysis of sociodemographic data showed a statistical difference between age and the rate of smoking cessation. Data related to age as a factor in smoking cessation are contradictory. Some studies support that age has an association with smoking cessation success, while others found the opposite^{33,34}. Similarly, García-Rodríguez et al.³⁵ found that age is a factor in smoking cessation, while Hubert et al.³⁶ found that age is associated with smoking behavior. The current study showed that increased age is associated with smoking cessation failure; however, previous studies observed the success of smoking cessation was among older adults^{37,38}. Elderly people may be more concerned with their health, especially in the presence of comorbid diseases, and this could explain their high success rate. However, age as a factor for smoking cessation success needs more investigation.

Another aspect of quitting smoking is one's occupation. There was a statistical difference in this study between the rate of quitting and the kind of occupation (p=0.046). When compared to private-sector employees, government employees had a lower rate of smoking cessation. This finding contradicted a prior study conducted in China that found no link between occupation and smoking cessation²⁹. This could be due to discrepancies in how the occupation is classified and the nature of the work.

In previous studies, marital status and education level were significant predictors in stopping smoking³⁹⁻⁴¹. A study conducted to evaluate the behavior and attitudes of Saudi nationals demonstrated good attitudes toward anti-smoking campaigns among highly educated people (university degree and higher) compared with low education level (secondary and lower)³⁹. Zhuang et al.⁴⁰ reported that the more educated were more likely to quit smoking than the less educated. Additionally, married men had a higher percentage of smoking cessation success than single men⁴¹. However, the current study found that education level and marital status had no statistically significant relationship with smoking cessation success. Substantial qualitative and quantitative investigations are required to test this association.

In line with a previous study conducted in Taiwan³³, the current investigation found that the rate of smoking abstinence was substantially associated with daily cigarette intake and the number of follow-up visits. Multivariate analysis reflected the significant importance of the number of visits. Zhu et al.⁴² found that the number of follow-up visits had a significant influence on the success of smoking cessation. Huang et al.³³ looked at smoking cessation rates in relation to smoking cessation settings and average daily cigarette consumption. In their study, Hu et al.²⁹ found that smoking duration, daily smoke consumption, Fagerström score, and exhaled CO value at first visit, were not linked to successful smoking cessation.

In terms of previous quit attempts, the current study found no significant association between successful smoking cessation and previous quit attempts in both univariate and multivariate analysis. A Chinese study found a connection between quit attempts and successful smoking cessation, with higher rates of success associated with more quit attempts²⁹. More prior attempts, in theory, show self-efficacy and more exposure to smoking cessation therapies, resulting in a high success rate. In terms of abstinence, however, other aspects such as concurrent drug usage and khat chewing must be considered; 53% of the individuals in this study used khat, a powerful stimulant⁴³. The negative correlation in this study could be explained by concurrent khat chewing and smoking. However, the disparities between this study's findings and those of earlier research require further investigation.

The majority of participants (71%) cited selfefficacy, whereas family support, smoking cessation therapies, and friends' support were cited as related factors by 18%, 10%, and 1% of the participants, respectively. Similarly, self-efficacy and social support were found to have a significant influence on smoking cessation success in previous studies^{43,44}. In addition, family and social support, as well as self-efficacy and smoking cessation interventions, were found to be strong predictors for stopping smoking in Saudi Arabia²⁷.

Limitations

There were some limitations to this research. The limited sample size is the main weakness, which stems from the inability to reach participants by mobile phone and their infrequent attendance at smoking clinics during the study period. Inability to contact participants via phone, especially those with irregular or incomplete follow-up, precludes the detailed assessment of relapse. Furthermore, due to the study design and limited sample size, the temporal relationship between age at the beginning of smoking, marital status, and occupation, and their relations to smoking cessation, cannot be ascertained. Large quantitative and qualitative studies are needed to test these relationships. Finally, because this was a cross-sectional study, the findings cannot be applied to the entire population of Saudi Arabia.

CONCLUSIONS

In the Jazan Region, smoking cessation clinics had a significant impact on the smoking abstinence rate. Participants who received the smoking cessation intervention package were about three times more likely to succeed in quitting smoking when compared to those who received the routine service. Smokers who received regular follow-up during the smoking cessation interventions exhibited an improved SC rate when compared with the rates of other smokers. The age at beginning of smoking, marital status, occupation, and rate of smoking intake were significant determinants of smoking cessation. It is recommended that pharmacotherapy strategies and intense therapy be performed face-to-face with a cessation counselor to improve the quit rate.

REFERENCES

- 1. World Health Organization. WHO Global Report: Mortality Attributable to Tobacco. World Health Organization; 2012. Accessed October 27, 2022. https:// www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564434
- Institute of Health Metrics. Global Burden of Disease 2019. Accessed July 17, 2021. https://www.healthdata. org/gbd/gbd-2019-resources
- Hackshaw A, Morris JK, Boniface S, Tang JL, Milenković D. Low cigarette consumption and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: meta-analysis of 141 cohort studies in 55 study reports. BMJ. 2018;360:j5855. doi:10.1136/bmj.j5855
- Gellert C, Schöttker B, Müller H, Holleczek B, Brenner H. Impact of smoking and quitting on cardiovascular outcomes and risk advancement periods among older adults. Eur J Epidemiol. 2013;28(8):649-658. doi:10.1007/s10654-013-9776-0
- Macrotrends. Saudi Arabia Smoking Rate 2000-2022. Accessed October 27, 2022. https://www.macrotrends. net/countries/SAU/saudi-arabia/smoking-rate-statistics
- Lindson-Hawley N, Thompson TP, Begh R. Motivational interviewing for smoking cessation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015;(3):CD006936. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006936.pub3
- Bricker J, Wyszynski C, Comstock B, Heffner JL. Pilot randomized controlled trial of web-based acceptance and commitment therapy for smoking cessation. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013;15(10):1756-1764. doi:10.1093/ntr/ntt056
- Abu Hassan H, Abd Aziz N, Hassan Y, Hassan F. Does the duration of smoking cessation have an impact on hospital admission and health-related quality of life amongst COPD patients? Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2014;9:493-498. doi:10.2147/COPD.S56637
- Gellert C, Schöttker B, Brenner H. Smoking and allcause mortality in older people: systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(11):837-844. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2012.1397
- 10. Kale D, Gilbert H, Sutton S. An exploration of

the barriers to attendance at the English Stop Smoking Services. Addict Behav Rep. 2018;9:005-5. doi:10.1016/j.abrep.2018.10.005

- 11. Yoo JE, Han K, Shin DW, et al. Effect of smoking reduction, cessation, and resumption on cancer risk: A nationwide cohort study. Cancer. 2022;128(11):2126-2137. doi:10.1002/cncr.34172
- 12. World Health Organization. Strengthening health systems for treating tobacco dependence in primary care. World Health Organization; 2013. Accessed June 25, 2019. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/strengtheninghealth-systems-for-treating-tobacco-dependence-inprimary-care
- World Health Organization. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2017: monitoring tobacco use and prevention policies. World Health Organization; 2017. Accessed June 20, 2019. https://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/255874/9789241512824-eng. pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y
- 14. Centers for Disease Control Prevention. Cigarette Smoking Among Adults and Trends in Smoking Cessation --- United States, 2008. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2009;58(44);1227-1232. Accessed October 27, 2022. https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/ mm5844a2.htm
- 15. Harris KJ, Okuyemi KS, Catley D, Mayo MS, Ge B, Ahluwalia JS. Predictors of smoking cessation among African-Americans enrolled in a randomized controlled trial of bupropion. Prev Med. 2004;38(4):498-502. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2003.12.008
- Powell J, Dawkins L, West R, Powell J, Pickering A. Relapse to smoking during unaided cessation: clinical, cognitive and motivational predictors. Psychopharmacology (Berl). 2010;212(4):537-549. doi:10.1007/s00213-010-1975-8
- Piper ME, Loh WY, Smith SS, Japuntich SJ, Baker TB. Using decision tree analysis to identify risk factors for relapse to smoking. Subst Use Misuse. 2011;46(4):492-510. doi:10.3109/10826081003682222
- Jampaklay A, Borland R, Yong HH, Sirirassamee B, Fotuhi O, Fong GT. Predictors of Successful Quitting among Thai Adult Smokers: Evidence from ITC-SEA (Thailand) Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12(10):12095-12109. doi:10.3390/ijerph121012095
- Aluckal E, Pulayath C, Chithra P, Balakrishna MS, Luke AM, Mathew S. Tobacco Cessation Behavior Among Smoking and Smokeless Form Tobacco Users in the Indigenous Population of Ernakulam, India. J Pharm Bioallied Sci. 2020;12(Suppl 1):S194-S198. doi:10.4103/jpbs.JPBS_58_20
- 20. Fiore MC, Jaén CR, Baker TB, et al. Treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2008:29-30. Accessed October 27, 2022. https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/ documents/patient_care/clinical_recommendations/ TreatingTobaccoUseandDependence-2008Update.pdf

- Al-Nimr YM, Farhat G, Alwadey A. Factors Affecting Smoking Initiation and Cessation Among Saudi Women Attending Smoking Cessation Clinics. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2020;20(1):e95-e99. doi:10.18295/squmj.2020.20.01.014
- 22. Kabat GC, Wynder EL. Determinants of quitting smoking. Am J Public Health. 1987;77(10):1301-1305. doi:10.2105/ajph.77.10.1301
- 23. World Health Organization. Guidelines for controlling and monitoring the tobacco epidemic. World Health Organization; 1998. Accessed October 27, 2022. https://apps.who.int/ iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42049/9241545089-eng. pdf?sequence=8&isAllowed=y
- 24. World Health Organisation. The World Health Report 2022: Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. World Health Organisation; 2002. Accessed November 30, 2022. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ handle/10665/42510/WHR_2002.pdf
- 25. Buck D, Frosini F. Clustering of unhealthy behaviours over time: Implications for policy and practice. The Kings Fund; 2012. Accessed November 30, 2022. http://www. kingsfund.org.uk/sites/files/kf/field/field_publication_ file/clustering-of-unhealthy-behaviours-over-timeaug-2012.pdf
- Velicer WF, Prochaska JO. A comparison of four self-report smoking cessation outcome measures. Addict Behav. 2004;29(1):51-60. doi:10.1016/s0306-4603(03)00084-4
- 27. Albeyahi AA, Alzahrani ME, Mahmoud NE, et al. Frequency of smoking cessation and associated factors among consumers of Cessation Clinics of the Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. J Health Inform Dev Ctries. 2020;14(1). Accessed November 30, 2022. https://www. jhidc.org/index.php/jhidc/article/view/268/298
- Qattan AMN, Boachie MK, Immurana M, Al-Hanawi MK. Socioeconomic Determinants of Smoking in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2021;18(11):5665. doi:10.3390/ijerph18115665
- 29. Hu Y, Xie J, Chang X, et al. Characteristics and Predictors of Abstinence Among Smokers of a Smoking Cessation Clinic in Hunan China. Front Public Health. 2021;9:615817. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.615817
- 30. Corsi DJ, Subramanian SV, Lear SA, et al. Tobacco use, smoking quit rates, and socioeconomic patterning among men and women: a cross-sectional survey in rural Andhra Pradesh, India. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2014;21(10):1308-1318. doi:10.1177/2047487313491356
- Hughes JR. Motivating and helping smokers to stop smoking. J Gen Intern Med. 2003;18(12):1053-1057. doi:10.1111/j.1525-1497.2003.20640.x
- 32. Aung MN, Yuasa M, Moolphate S, et al. Effectiveness of a new multi-component smoking cessation service package for patients with hypertension and diabetes in northern Thailand: a randomized controlled trial (ESCAPE study). Subst Abuse Treat Prev Policy. 2019;14(1):10. doi:10.1186/s13011-019-0197-2
- 33. Huang WH, Hsu HY, Chang BC, Chang FC. Factors

Correlated with Success Rate of Outpatient Smoking Cessation Services in Taiwan. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2018;15(6):1218. doi:10.3390/ijerph15061218

- 34. Hyland A, Borland R, Li Q, et al. Individual-level predictors of cessation behaviours among participants in the International Tobacco Control (ITC) Four Country Survey. Tob Control. 2006;15 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):iii83iii94. doi:10.1136/tc.2005.013516
- 35. García-Rodríguez O, Secades-Villa R, Flórez-Salamanca L, Okuda M, Liu SM, Blanco C. Probability and predictors of relapse to smoking: results of the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC). Drug Alcohol Depend. 2013;132(3):479-485. doi:10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.03.008
- 36. Vesper HW, Sternberg MR, Frame T, Pfeiffer CM. Among 10 sociodemographic and lifestyle variables, smoking is strongly associated with biomarkers of acrylamide exposure in a representative sample of the U.S. Population. J Nutr. 2013;143(6):995S-1000S. doi:10.3945/jn.112.173013
- 37. Hymowitz N, Cummings KM, Hyland A, Lynn WR, Pechacek TF, Hartwell TD. Predictors of smoking cessation in a cohort of adult smokers followed for five years. Tob Control. 1997;6 Suppl 2(Suppl 2):S57-S62. doi:10.1136/tc.6.suppl_2.s57
- Qiu D, Chen T, Liu T, Song F. Smoking cessation and related factors in middle-aged and older Chinese adults: Evidence from a longitudinal study. PLoS One. 2020;15(10):e0240806. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0240806
- 39. Saeed AA, Khoja TA, Khan SB. Smoking behaviour and attitudes among adult Saudi nationals in Riyadh City, Saudi Arabia. Tob Control. 1996;5(3):215-219. doi:10.1136/tc.5.3.215
- 40. Zhuang YL, Gamst AC, Cummins SE, Wolfson T, Zhu SH. Comparison of smoking cessation between education groups: findings from 2 US National Surveys over 2 decades. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(2):373-379. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302222
- 41. Lee JE, Park EC, Chun SY, Park HK, Kim TH. Sociodemographic and clinical factors contributing to smoking cessation among men: a four-year follow up study of the Korean Health Panel Survey. BMC Public Health. 2016;16(1):1-9. doi:10.1186/s12889-016-3583-y
- 42. Zhu N, Lin S, Cao C, Xu N, Yu X, Chen X. Nomogram to predict successful smoking cessation in a Chinese outpatient population. Tob Induc Dis. 2020;18(October). doi:10.18332/tid/127736
- 43. Alotaibi SA, Durgampudi PK. Factors associated with tobacco smoking among Saudi college students: A systematic review. Tob Prev Cessat. 2020;6(June). doi:10.18332/tpc/122444
- 44. Soulakova JN, Tang CY, Leonardo SA, Taliaferro LA. Motivational Benefits of Social Support and Behavioural Interventions for Smoking Cessation. J Smok Cessat. 2018;13(4):216-226. doi:10.1017/jsc.2017.26

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the participants who enrolled in this study. The authors also thank members of the Department of Family and Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Jazan University, for their assistance in proposal writing and questionnaire distribution. The authors also extend their appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research, Jazan University, for supporting this research work through the Research Unit Support Program (Support Number: RUP2-06).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors have completed and submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest and none was reported.

FUNDING

This research is supported and funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research, Jazan University (Support Number: RUP2-06).

ETHICAL APPROVAL AND INFORMED CONSENT

Ethical approval was obtained from The Jazan Health Ethics Committee (Approval number: 2207; Date: 26 January 2022). Participation in the study was deemed informed consent.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting this research are available from the authors on reasonable request.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization and data curation: OA, AHA, AA and AMM. Formal analysis and methodology: all authors. Project administration and supervision: OA and AA. Resources: AHA and AMM. Software, writing of original draft, and writing, reviewing and editing: HIAM, AEA, NAK, YAH and RMS. All authors who participated in the study approved the final version of the manuscript.

PROVENANCE AND PEER REVIEW

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.